

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE\_

In re application of: A. Môhānty, P. Tummala, M. Misra and L. Drzal

Application No.: 10 / 701,879

Group No.: 1732

Filed: 11/05/2003

Examiner: Stefan Staicovici

For: FILLER REINFORCED THERMOPLASTIC COMPOSITIONS AND PROCESS FOR

MANUFACTURE

Mail Stop RCE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

#### CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

#### MAILING

X	deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450					
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *				
X	with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"				
		Mailing Label No (mandatory)				
	Ť	RANSMISSION				
	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trad	emark Office, (703)				
		Janne L. Saylor				
		Signature				
Dat	te: <u>04/10/2</u> 007	Tāmmi I. Taylor				

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (\$ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 790.00

## TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. This request is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):									
. <b>i</b>	i 🗵 Prior to abandonment of the application								
ii.	ii.   Payment of the issue fee								
	☐ Prior to payment of issue fee								
			Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted						
iii.		Pric	or to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences						
			A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.						
NOT	NOTE: If such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing of the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.								
iv.	iv.  Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or  Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146								
			Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action						
			Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated						
	·		ENCLOSURES						
<b>3.</b> E	nclos	sed h	nerewith is/are:						
WAF	WARNING: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).								
	An	infon	mation disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)						
		For	n PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)						
$\boxtimes$	An a	amer	ndment						
$\mathbf{X}$	Nev	v arg	uments						
	Nev	v evi	dence in support of patentability						
П	Oth	er:							

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 790.00

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 3 of 6)

# FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

4.	Thi	s a	pplication	is on b	ehal	f of:							
	Ę		Small en	ntity (and	sta	tus is still	as s	mall e	ntity)				\$395.00
	6	Z	Other th	an a sma	all e	ntity							\$790.00
						FEE FO	)R C	LAIN	ıs				
	TT.	-	Tha faa faa a				F 4 .	1146	1 17/011 do	on not inc	kudo s	dditional	alaima faa
NO	TE:	(0	f. 1.53 (d)(3)	(ii))." See I	Votice	ination under e of March 10	0, 200	00, 65 F	ed Reg 1	4865, at 1	4868.		
		3				fee for a cont			cution app	lication file	ed und	er this pa	ragraph is:
				-		et forth in §			6 - 1 - 1 - 1		44		-4
			of any ame any amendi	ndment ac ments und	comp er§	ue based on to panying the re 1.116 unente ued prosecut	quest red in	t for an the pri	application	n under th	is par	agraph ar	nd entry of
<b>5.</b> 7	The	fe	e for clair	ms (37 C	F.F	R. § ·1.16(b)	)-(d))	has t	oeen cal	culated	as sl	nown b	elow:
								, ,					THAN A
			(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(C	Col. 3)	SMALI	ENTITY		SMALL	ENTITY
		R	CLAIMS EMAINING		HI	GHEST NO.							
		ΔN	AFTER MENDMENT			REVIOUSLY PAID FOR		ESENT XTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
			ne. Ioniei I		•	,							
TOTA	L	•	19	MINUS	••	29	=	0	×\$25=	\$ -0-		×\$50=	\$
NDEF	· ·	•	3	MINUS	***	4	=	0	×\$100=	\$ -O-	··	×\$200=	\$
JFIRS	ST F	RE	SENTATION	OF MULT	IPLE	DEP. CLAIM			+\$180=	\$ -0-		+\$360=	\$
			:					ADI	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$ -0-	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
**	if t If t	he he e "l	"Highest No "Highest No Highest No.	. Previous! . Previous! Previously	y Pai y Pai Paid	entry in Col. d for" IN THI d For" IN TH For" (Total o ent or the nur	S SP. IS SP r Inde	ACE is PACE is ep.) is t	less than less than he highest	3, enter " number f	3."	in the ap	propriate
WA	RNII	NG:	See 37 C	.F.R. § 1.1	16.								
				(0	com	olete (a) or	(b),	as ap	plicable	)		ī	
(a)	X	]	No additid	onal fee	is re	equired.							
						•	OR					•	
(b)		]	Total add	itional fe	e re	quired is \$		<del></del> .					
				<b>(Damina)</b>	s c		1	N: (D)	CC (97.C)	ED & 4.1	14) [0	641 pa	no 4 of 6)

## **EXTENSION OF TIME**

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

<ol> <li>The proceedings herein are § 1.136(a) apply.</li> </ol>	e for a patent applicati	on, and the provis	ions of 37 C.F.R.						
NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) " an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."									
(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4), for the total number of months checked below:									
Extension for (months)  one month two months three months four months	Fee for other than small entity  \$ 120.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 1,020.00 \$ 1,590.00	Fee for small entity \$ 60.00 \$ 225.00 \$ 510.00 \$ 795.00	·						
	Fee:	\$							
If an additional extension of ti	me is required, please	consider this a pe	tition therefor.						
	complete the next item								
An extension for months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.									
	Extension fee du	e with this request	\$						
	OR								
Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this is a conditional petition and authorization to pay the necessary fees to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.									
TOTAL FEE(S) DUE									
VARNING: The fee for continued examination under § 1.114 may not be deferred. 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(f).									
The total fee(s) due is/are:									
Continued Prosecution Fee (§ 1.17(e)) \$ 790.00									
Fee(s) for additional claims	\$								
Extension of time fee (if ar	ıy) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4))		\$						
	. T	otal Fee(s) Due	\$ 790.00						
(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 5 of 6)									

**7.** 

## PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please pay the fee(s) for this continued examination application as follows:									
	$\boxtimes$	Check is attached for the sur	m of	\$790.00					
		Charge Account	the sum of	\$					
		Charge Credit Card the sum	of	\$					
		(Credit Card Payment Form (	PTO-2038) attached)						
		charge any required addition in the charge any required addition in the charge and the charge an	onal fee(s) for § 1.17(e), § 1.16(	(b)-(d) and/or					
	· 🔀	Account <u>13-0610</u>	····						
		Credit Card (Credit Card Payer	ment Form (PTO-2038) attached).						
		IŅVE	ENTORSHIP						
N		ny change of inventors must be via the 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. See	Notice of March					
9.	This a	pplication as amended names	as inventors:						
	X	the same inventors as previou	sly designated for the claims.						
	fewer than the inventors previously designated and a statement accompanies this request for the deletion of the name or names of the person or persons who are not inventors of the invention now being claimed.								
	<ul> <li>□ a person not named previously as an inventor and a petition under 37 C.F.R.</li> <li>§ 1.48 is/has separately: □ being filed □ been filed</li> </ul>								
	•	DEFERRAL (	OF EXAMINATION						
10.		request for deferral of exami xamination.	nation accompanies this request f	or continued					
Reg	. No.:	20,931	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER						
T-1	NI	517	Ian C. McLeod						
ı eı.	No.: (	517) 347-4100	(type or print name of practitioner)						
			2190 Commons Parkway	<u> </u>					
Cus	tomer 1	No.: 21036	P.O. Address						
			Okemos, Michigan 48864						



MSU 4.1-617

Appl. No. 10/701,879

Amdt. Dated: April 10, 2007

Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2007

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No. : 10/701,879 Confirmation No. 6700

Applicants : Amar K. Mohanty, Praveen Tummala,

Manjusri Misra and Lawrence T. Drzal

Filed: November 5, 2003

Title : FILLER REINFORCED THERMOPLASTIC

COMPOSITIONS AND PROCESS FOR MANUFACTURE

TC/A.U. : 1732

Examiner : Stefan Staicovici

Docket No. : MSU 4.1-617

Customer No. : 21036

MAIL STOP RCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

SUBMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.114(c)

Sir:

A Request for Continued Examination is hereby filed. In response to the Office Action mailed March 23, 2007, Applicants amend and remark as follows:

Amendments to the claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 11 of this paper.